

Gecko

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows



Funded by the European Union

1st Technical Workshop



Presenter name: Philip Le Email: philip.le@kuleuven.be Date: 01/09/2024



Recap: DC09 - Research field



Model Order Reduction of coupled vibro-acoustic systems

Objectives:

- Combination of IGA and FEM/BEM for vibro-acoustic systems
- Explore Model-Order Reduction (MoR) schemes to IGA-iBEM for coupled vibro-acoustic methods
- Incorporate Fast-Multipole and H-matrix approaches within IGA-BEM MoR framework

Considering one domain- acoustic domain- for now
□ IGA-BEM



AGENDA

Table of Contents



1 Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics

2 IGA-iBEM Implementation

3 Model-Order Reduction of BEM systems

4 Model-Order Reduction within IGA-framework

5 Future work



Table of Contents







Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics Acoustic Basics (I)



For acoustic problems:

 $\Delta p(\vec{r}) + k^2 p(\vec{r}) = -j\rho_0 \omega q_a \delta(r, r_q)$

Helmholtz equation (Hermann Ludwig Ferdinand von Helmholtz 1821-1894)



Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics

Acoustic Basics + IGA

- In literature: direct and indirect methods
- Variational formulation of the sound pressure $p(\vec{r})$:

$$p(\vec{r}) = \int_{\Omega_f} \left(\mu(r_f) \frac{\partial G(r, r_f)}{\partial n} - \sigma(r_f) G(r, r_f) \right) d\Omega_f(r_f) \qquad \sigma(r_f) : \text{single layer potential}$$

- Advantage of indirect BEM (iBEM): Combined interior / exterior problems, e.g. open boundaries can be solved
- Approximation of single- and double-layer potentials $\sigma(r_f)$ and $\mu(r_f)$:

$$\sigma_{r_f} \approx \sigma^h(r_f) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(r_f) d_i^{\sigma} \qquad r_f \in \Gamma_{\sigma}$$

$$\mu_{r_f} \approx \mu^h(r_f) = \sum_{i=1}^n N_i(r_f) d_i^{\mu} \qquad r_f \in \Gamma_{\mu}$$

number of NURBS basis functions where: n

 $N_i(r_f)$ basis function

control point

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows





 $\mu(r_f)$: double layer potential

Motivation of Research

Model Order Reduction of coupled vibro-acoustic systems

Why MoR IGA-BEM?

- Only boundary needs to be discretized in BEM compared to FEM
- Fulfillment of Sommerfeld radiation condition at infinity for BEM
- Sensitive to geometric description of surface □ Incorporation of IGA with BEM
- However: There is no free lunch! (theorem of conservation of difficulties)
- System matrices in BEM are dense, non-affine and highly oscillatory!
- Use MoR IGA-BEM to reduce computational cost



Figure 2: Overview of numerical methods





Table of Contents



Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics 1 **IGA-iBEM** Implementation 2 **AGENDA** 3 Model-Order Reduction of BEM systems **Model-Order Reduction within IGA-framework** 4 5 **Future work**



IGA-iBEM Implementation



- Patches in general have non-conforming discretizations
- Patch coupling needed!
- Herein: Patch coupling by virtual refinement in a master-slave formulation [Co16]
- Virtual refinement of interface DOFs until patches are conforming
- Use substitution method for condensation of slave DoFs





Figure 4.: Conceptual illustration of patch coupling method [Co16]



IGA-iBEM Implementation



Computation of the double layer potential (II)



Figure 5.: (a) non-conforming multipatch geometry: sphere; (b) double layer μ potential at 100 Hz; (c) logarithmic maximum error of the sound pressure over frequency

Jecko

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows

Table of Contents



 1
 Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics

 2
 IGA-iBEM Implementation

 3
 Model-Order Reduction of BEM systems

 4
 Model-Order Reduction within IGA-framework



Future work

5

12

Model-Order Reduction of BEM systems

Problem of BEM systems

- BEM system expressed as: $A(\omega)x(\omega) = b(\omega)$ where $A: \Psi \to \mathbb{C}^{NxN}$ and $x, b: \Psi \to \mathbb{C}^{N}$
- Dealing with dense, non-affine and highly oscillatory matrices
- Increase of computational time and memory storage with increase of complexity and frequency!
- Model Order Reduction (MoR)
- Find lower-order model that approximates the original highorder model, where the lower-order model facilitates both computationally efficiency and accuracy



m

Figure 6.: Schematic scheme projection MoR





Table of Contents







Combination of standard MoR schemes with BEM are not directly applicable due to the non-affine characteristic

1.5

Х Ц

-1.5

-1.5

-0.5

- Cumbersome to construct representative basis + after obtaining representative basis a reduction of computational effort is not guaranteed for a frequency sweep analysis
- Responsible for non-affine characteristic: Green's function $G = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r}$ where $k = \frac{\omega}{c}$

ldea [Pa20]:

- Approximation of BEM system by an affine expression:
- Herein: Chebyshev polynomial Approximation

$$A(\omega) \approx \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) T_i\right); \ b(\omega) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) q_i \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) T_i\right) x(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) q_i$$
$$T_i = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} A(\omega_{\kappa}) c_i(\omega_{\kappa}) \qquad \qquad q_i = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} b(\omega_{\kappa}) c_i(\omega_{\kappa}) \qquad \qquad \omega_{\kappa} = \cos\left[\frac{\pi \left(\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{\mathcal{M}+1}\right]$$

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows

1.5

Model-Order Reduction within IGA-framework

rpression: າ



Recycling of Krylov subspaces (I)

• Apply Galerkin projection:

 $x(\omega) \approx \hat{x}(\omega) = V \tilde{x}(\omega)$ $b(\omega)$ where $V \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times r}$ $r \ll m$

- Obtain projection basis V for all $\omega \in \Psi$ by recycling Krylov subspaces
- Subspace recycling refers that the Krylov subspaces of the *ith* system are utilized for accelerating the convergence of iterative solution procedure of the (*i* + 1)st system
- Expand Krylov subspaces $\mathcal{K}_m^{\omega_i}$ of dimension *m* for all ω and generate basis V_{ω_i}
- Projection basis V constructed by SVD factorization

$$A_{red}(\omega) \approx \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) T_{i,red}\right); \ b_{red}(\omega) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) q_{i,red} \rightarrow \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) T_{i,red}\right) x(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) q_{i,red}$$
$$T_i = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} WA(\omega_{\kappa}) Vc_i(\omega_{\kappa}) \qquad q_i = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} Wb(\omega_{\kappa}) c_i(\omega_{\kappa}) \qquad \omega_{\kappa} = \cos\left[\frac{\pi(\kappa+\frac{1}{2})}{\mathcal{M}+1}\right]$$

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows

Recycling of Krylov subspaces (I)

Introducing:

 $\mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ \mathbb{C}^m $\mathbb{C}^{m \times r}$ $\mathbb{C}^{r \times m}$ $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ \mathbb{C}^r

• Full order model Chebyshev polynomial approximation:

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) \quad \mathbf{T}_i\right) x(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{\mathcal{M}} c_i(\omega) \quad \mathbf{q}_i$$

$$T_{i} = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\kappa}) \quad c_{i}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\kappa}) \quad q_{i} = \frac{2}{\mathcal{M}+1} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{b}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\kappa}) \quad c_{i}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\kappa})$$







Recycling of Krylov subspaces (I)

Reduced order model Chebyshev polynomial approximation:









Fixed Krylov Subspace Recycling (FKSR)



Figure 7.: (a) Subspace dimension of Krylov subspaces employed at Ω for the construction of the reduction bases employing different sampling patterns, (b) Absolute error of μ between the FOM and FKSR

Drawback of FKSR:

 Krylov subspace dimension *m* and number of sampling master frequencies Ω are predetermined by the user!





Introduction of Automatic Krylov Subspace Recycling (AKR)

Automatic Krylov Subspace Recycling (AKR) [Pa21]

- Motivation: Find optimal settings to construct reduction basis
- Adaptive procedure that allows order *m* and No. of sampled master frequencies in Ω to vary with frequency and produce a ROM that is under a predefined error threshold
- Dimension $m(\omega)$ of the respective Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m(\omega)}^{\omega}$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ as well as Ω are determined iteratively through an automated procedure
- In each iteration a residual is built and compared to a user-defined error threshold





Automatic Krylov Subspace Recycling (AKR)



Design for IGA-type discretization workflows

Figure 8.: (a) Subspace dimension of Krylov subspaces employed at Ω for the construction of the reduction bases employing different sampling patterns, (b) Absolute error of μ between the FOM and AKR with error limit $\epsilon = 1E - 04$

20

Table of Contents



1 2 **IGA-iBEM** Implementation **AGENDA** 3 Model-Order Reduction of BEM systems **Model-Order Reduction within IGA-framework** 4 **Future work** 5

Fundamentals of Computational Acoustics

Tecko Design for IGA-type discretization workflows

Future work

Acoustics and vibro-acoustics

- Extend MoR scheme for BEM systems for multiple design variables for shape optimization

So far:

Only considered the acoustic domain

Future work vibro-acoustic:

- Just coupling at the interface (boundary) of fluid domain and structural domain
- Assume FEM for structure and BEM for acoustic domain
- Explore MoR schemes for fully coupled vibro-acoustic systems







Gecko

Design for *IGA*-type discretization workflows



European Commission



Thank you!

Presenter name: Philip Le Email: philip.le@kuleuven.be Date: 01/09/2024

References

* * * * * * *

Acoustics and vibro-acoustics

[Co16] Coox L., Greco F., Atak O., Vandepitte D., Desmet W. A robust patch coupling method for NURBS-based Isogeometric analysis of non-conforming multipatch surfaces, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 316 (2017) 235-260.

[Pa20] Panagiotopoulos D., Deckers E., Desmet W. Krylov subspaces recycling based model order reduction for acoustic BEM systems and an error estimator. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 359 (2020) 112755.

[Pa21] Panagiotopoulos D., Desmet W., Deckers E. An Automatic Krylov subspaces Recycling technique for the construction of a global solution basis of non-affine parametric linear systems. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 373 (2021) 113510.

